

Edexcel (A) Economics A-level Thoma 3: Business Behaviour 8

Theme 3: Business Behaviour & the Labour Market

3.4 Market Structures

3.4.4 Oligopoly

Notes









Characteristics of an oligopoly:

High barriers to entry and exit

There are high barriers of entry to and exit from an oligopoly. This makes the market less competitive.

High concentration ratio

In an oligopoly, only a few firms supply the majority of the market. For example, in the UK the supermarket industry is an oligopoly. The high concentration ratio makes the market less competitive.

Interdependence of firms

Firms are interdependent in an oligopoly. This means that the actions of one firm affect another firm's behaviour.

Product differentiation

Firms differentiate their products from other firms using branding.

Calculation of n-firm concentration ratios and their significance:

- The concentration ratio of a market is the combined market share of the top few firms in a market.
- For example, the market share for each of the top supermarkets in the UK is shown in the table below:

Supermarket	Market share (12 weeks to 29 March 2015)	
Tesco	28.4%	
Asda	17.1%	
Sainsbury's	16.4%	
Morrisons	10.9%	
The Co-operative	6.0%	
Aldi	5.3%	
Waitrose	5.1%	
Lidl	3.7%	









Iceland	2.1%	
	Data adapted from BBC News	
	http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-	
	32218170	

- If the 4 firm concentration ratio was calculated, the market share of the 4 largest firms would be added together: 28.4% + 17.1% + 16.4% + 10.9% = 72.8%.
- The 2 firm concentration ratio is the market share of the 2 largest firms added together: 28.4% + 17.1% = 45.5%.
- The higher the concentration ratio, the less competitive the market, since fewer firms are supplying the bulk of the market.

Reasons for collusive and non-collusive behaviour:

- Collusive behaviour occurs if firms agree to work together on something. For example, they might choose to set a price or fix the quantity of output they produce, which minimises the competitive pressure they face.
- Collusion leads to a lower consumer surplus, higher prices and greater profits for the firms colluding.
- Firms in an oligopoly have a strong incentive to collude. By making agreements, they can maximise their own benefits and restrict their output, to cause the market price to increase. This deters new entrants and is anti-competitive.
- Collusion is more likely to happen where there are only a few firms, they face similar costs, there are high entry barriers, it is not easy to be caught and there is an ineffective competition policy. Moreover, there should be consumer inertia. All of these factors make the market stable.
- Non-collusive behaviour occurs when the firms are competing. This establishes a competitive oligopoly. This is more likely to occur where there are several firms, one firm has a significant cost advantage, products are homogeneous and the market is saturated. Firms grow by taking market share from rivals.









Overt and tacit collusion; cartels and price leadership:

- Collusion can be overt or tacit.
- Overt collusion is when a formal agreement is made between firms. It works best when there are only a few dominant firms, so one does not refuse. It is illegal in the EU, US and several other countries. For example, it is often suspected that fuel companies partake in overt collusion. This could be in the form of price fixing, which maximises their joint profits, cuts the cost of competition, such as by preventing firms using wasteful advertising, and reduces uncertainty.
- Tacit collusion occurs when there is no formal agreement, but collusion is implied. For example, in the UK supermarket industry, firms are competing in a price war. Price wars are harmful to supermarkets and their suppliers. Some application points for price wars can be found here:

Grocery price war pushes Waitrose profits down 24%
Supermarket price war blamed for food producers folding
Supermarket price war hits Asda sales

Costs of collusion	Benefits of collusion	
There is a loss of consumer welfare,	Industry standards could improve. This is	
since prices are raised and output is	especially true in the pharmaceutical	
reduced.	industry and for car safety technology.	
	This is because firms can collaborate on	
	technology and improve it.	
The absence of competition means	Excess profits could be used for	
efficiency falls. This increases the	investment, which might improve	
average cost of production.	efficiency in the long run. Alternatively,	
	they might be used on dividends.	
It reinforces the monopoly power of	It saves on duplicate research and	
existing firms and makes it hard for new	development.	
firms to enter.		
A lower quantity supplied leads to a loss	By increasing their size, firms can exploit	
of allocative efficiency.	economies of scale, which will lead to	
	lower prices.	









- A cartel is a group of two or more firms which have agreed to control prices, limit output, or prevent the entrance of new firms into the market. A famous example of a cartel is OPEC, which fixed their output of oil. This was possible since they controlled over 70% of the supply of oil in the world. This reduces uncertainty for firms, which would otherwise exist without a cartel.
- Cartels can lead to higher prices for consumers and restricted outputs. Some cartels might involve dividing the market up, so firms agree not to compete in each other's markets.
- Price leadership occurs when one firm changes their prices, and other firms follow. This firm is usually the dominant firm in the market. Other firms are often forced into changing their prices too, otherwise they risk losing their market share. This explains why there is price stability in an oligopoly; other firms risk losing market share if they do not follow the price change. The price leader is often the one judge to have the best knowledge of prevailing market conditions.

Game theory and the prisoner's dilemma:

- Game theory is related to the concept of interdependence between firms in an oligopoly. It is used to predict the outcome of a decision made by one firm, when it has incomplete information about the other firm.
- It can be explained using the Prisoner's Dilemma, which is a model based around two prisoners, who have the choice to either confess or deny a crime. The consequences of the choice depend on what the other prisoner chooses.

		Prisoner B	Prisoner B	
		Confess	Deny	
Prisoner A	Confess	5 years, 5 years	1 year, 10 years	
	Deny	10 years, 1 year	2 years, 2 years	

The two prisoners are not allowed to communicate, but they can consider what the other prisoner is likely to choose. This relates to the characteristic of uncertainty in an oligopoly.









- The **dominant strategy** is the option which is best, regardless of what the other person chooses. This is for both prisoners to confess, since this gives the minimum number of years that they have to spend in prison. It is the most likely outcome.
- This is still higher than if both prisoners deny the crime, however. If collusion is allowed in this dilemma, then both prisoners would deny. This is the **Nash equilibrium.**
- A **Nash equilibrium** is a concept in game theory which describes the optimal strategy for all players, whilst taking into account what opponents have chosen. They cannot improve their position given the choice of the other.
- However, even if both prisoners agree to deny, each one has an incentive to cheat and therefore confess, since this could reduce their potential sentence from 2 years to 1 year. This makes the Nash equilibrium unstable.
- It essentially sums up the interdependence between firms when making decisions in an oligopoly.

Types of price competition :

Price wars

A price war is a type of price competition, which involves firms constantly cutting their prices below that of its competitors. Their competitors then lower their prices to match. Further price cuts by one firm will lead to more and more firms cutting their prices. An example of this is the UK supermarket industry (see notes above).

Predatory pricing

Predatory pricing is illegal. It involves firms setting low prices to drive out firms already in the industry. In the short run, it leads to them making losses. As firms leave, the remaining firms raise their prices slowly to regain their revenue. They price their goods and services below their average costs.

Limit pricing

This is not necessarily illegal. Low prices discourage the entry of other firms, so there are low profits. It ensures the price of a good is below that which a new firm entering the market would be able to sustain. Potential firms are therefore unable to compete with existing firms. This can be evaluated by considering how the low profits of existing firms might dissatisfy shareholders, since they receive lower dividends.









Types of non-price competition:

- These aim to increase the loyalty to a brand, which makes demand for a good more price inelastic.
- For example, firms might improve the quality of their customer service, such as having more available delivery times. They might keep their shops open for longer, so consumers can visit when it is convenient.
- Special offers, such as buy one get one free, free gifts, or loyalty cards, might be used to attract consumers and increase demand.
- Advertising and marketing might be used to make their brand more known and influence consumer preferences. However, it is difficult to know what the effect of increased advertising spending will be. For some firms, it might be ineffective. This would make them incur large **sunk costs**, which are unrecoverable.
- Brands are used to differentiate between products. If firms can increase brand loyalty, demand becomes more price inelastic. Increasing brand loyalty means firms can attract and keep customers, which can increase their market share.



